

To Whom It May Concern:

I find the recent decision to require your individual stations to preempt regularly scheduled programming in order to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election deeply disturbing. This hardly represents a "fair and balanced" use of the public's airwaves.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. Unfortunately, when large companies achieve concentrated control the airwaves, we get less of the information we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard.

Thank you in advance for taking the time to consider my opinion and to respond.

Sincerely,

Paul M. Plotsky